Thank you for joining us. The program will commence momentarily.

The Evolving Role of PARP Inhibition in the Management of Ovarian Cancer

Wednesday, July 29, 2020 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Mansoor Raza Mirza, MD Kathleen Moore, MD Shannon N Westin, MD, MPH

> Moderator Neil Love, MD



Dr Love and Faculty Encourage You to Ask Questions



Feel free to submit questions **now before** the program commences and **throughout the program**.

Familiarizing yourself with the Zoom interface How to answer poll questions



When a poll question pops up, click your answer choice from the available options. Results will be shown after everyone has answered.

This activity is supported by educational grants from AbbVie Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, GlaxoSmithKline and Merck.

Dr Love — Disclosures

Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice. Research To Practice receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: AbbVie Inc, Acerta Pharma — A member of the AstraZeneca Group, Adaptive Biotechnologies, Agendia Inc, Agios Pharmaceuticals Inc, Amgen Inc, Array BioPharma Inc, a subsidiary of Pfizer Inc, Astellas, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Biodesix Inc, bioTheranostics Inc, Blueprint Medicines, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Boston Biomedical Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Clovis Oncology, Daiichi Sankyo Inc, Dendreon Pharmaceuticals Inc, Eisai Inc, EMD Serono Inc, Exelixis Inc, Foundation Medicine, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Genmab, Genomic Health Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc, GlaxoSmithKline, Grail Inc, Guardant Health, Halozyme Inc, Helsinn Healthcare SA, ImmunoGen Inc, Incyte Corporation, Infinity Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ipsen Biopharmaceuticals Inc, Janssen Biotech Inc, administered by Janssen Scientific Affairs LLC, Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc, Kite, A Gilead Company, Lexicon Pharmaceuticals Inc, Lilly, Loxo Oncology Inc, a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly & Company, Merck, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Inc, Myriad Genetic Laboratories Inc, Natera Inc, Novartis, Oncopeptides, Pfizer Inc, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Prometheus Laboratories Inc, Puma Biotechnology Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc, Sandoz Inc, a Novartis Division, Sanofi Genzyme, Seattle Genetics, Sirtex Medical Ltd, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc, Taiho Oncology Inc, Takeda Oncology, Tesaro, A GSK Company, Teva Oncology, Tokai Pharmaceuticals Inc, Tolero Pharmaceuticals and Verastem Inc.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE CME PLANNING COMMITTEE MEMBERS, STAFF AND REVIEWERS

Planners, scientific staff and independent reviewers for Research To Practice have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

Dr Mirza — Disclosures

Advisory Committee	Karyopharm Therapeutics, Sera Prognostics
Global Clinical Lead	ENGOT-OV16/NOVA niraparib, ENGOT-EN6/NSGO-RUBY
Institutional Financial Interests (Study Grants)	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Clovis Oncology, Pfizer Inc, Tesaro, A GSK Company, Ultimovacs
Personal Financial Interests	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, BIOCAD, Clovis Oncology, Geneos Therapeutics, Genmab, Karyopharm Therapeutics, Merck, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp, Mersana Therapeutics, Oncology Venture, Pfizer Inc, Roche Laboratories Inc, Seattle Genetics, Sera Prognostics, SOTIO LLC, Tesaro, A GSK Company, Zai Lab
Other	Council and Faculty, European Society of Gynaecological Oncology, Chair-Elect, European Network of Gynaecological Oncological Trials Group

Dr Moore — Disclosures

Advisory Committee	AbbVie Inc, Aravive Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Clovis Oncology, Eisai Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, GlaxoSmithKline, ImmunoGen Inc, Merck, Mereo BioPharma, Tarveda Therapeutics, Tesaro, A GSK Company, Vavotar Life Sciences	
Contracted Research	Clovis Oncology, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Merck, PTC Therapeutics	
Employment	GOG Foundation/Partners	

Dr Westin — Disclosures

Consulting Agreements	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Circulogene, Clovis Oncology, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Tesaro, A GSK Company;
Contracted Research	ArQule Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Clovis Oncology, Cotinga Pharmaceuticals, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Novartis, Tesaro, A GSK Company
Data and Safety Monitoring Board/Committee	Xenetic Biosciences

Upcoming Live Webinars

Thursday, July 30, 2020 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM ET

Clinical Investigator Perspectives on the Current and Future Management of Multiple Myeloma

Faculty Rafael Fonseca, MD

Moderator Neil Love, MD Friday, July 31, 2020 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM ET

Virtual Molecular Tumor Board: Role of Genomic Profiling for Patients with Solid Tumors and the Optimal Application of Available Testing Platforms

Faculty

Andrew McKenzie, PhD Bryan P Schneider, MD Milan Radovich, PhD

Moderator Neil Love, MD

Upcoming Live Webinars

Monday, August 3, 2020 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Recent Advances in Medical Oncology: Urothelial Bladder Carcinoma

Faculty Arjun Balar, MD Thomas Powles, MBBS, MRCP, MD Arlene Siefker-Radtke, MD

Moderator Neil Love, MD Tuesday, August 4, 2020 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM CT

Clinical Investigator Perspectives on the Current and Future Management of Multiple Myeloma

Faculty Shaji K Kumar, MD

Moderator Neil Love, MD

Upcoming Live Webinars

Wednesday, August 5, 2020 5:00 PM – 6:30 PM ET

Recent Advances in Medical Oncology: Immunotherapy and Other Nontargeted Approaches for Lung Cancer

Faculty

Edward B Garon, MD, MS Stephen V Liu, MD David R Spigel, MD

Moderator

Neil Love, MD

ONCOLOGY TODAY WITH DR NEIL LOVE









The Evolving Role of PARP Inhibition in the Management of Ovarian Cancer

Wednesday, July 29, 2020 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Mansoor Raza Mirza, MD Kathleen Moore, MD Shannon N Westin, MD, MPH

> Moderator Neil Love, MD



Faculty



Mansoor Raza Mirza, MD Medical Director Nordic Society of Gynaecological Oncology Vice-Chairman Danish Society of Gynaecologic Oncology Executive Director, Gynecologic Cancer InterGroup Chief Oncologist, Department of Oncology Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital Copenhagen, Denmark



Shannon N Westin, MD, MPH Associate Professor Director, Early Drug Development Department of Gynecologic Oncology and Reproductive Medicine The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas



Kathleen Moore, MD

The Virginia Kerley Cade Endowed Chair in Cancer Development Associate Director, Clinical Research Director, Oklahoma TSET Phase I Program Stephenson Cancer Center Associate Professor Section of Gynecologic Oncology Director, Gynecologic Oncology Fellowship Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Dr Love and Faculty Encourage You to Ask Questions



Feel free to submit questions **now before** the program commences and **throughout the program**.

Clinical Investigator Perspectives on the Current and Future Management of Multiple Myeloma

Thursday, July 30, 2020 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM ET

Faculty

Rafael Fonseca, MD

Moderator Neil Love, MD



Co-provided by **USF**Health

Virtual Molecular Tumor Board: Optimizing Biomarker-Based Decision-Making for Patients with Solid Tumors

Role of Genomic Profiling for Patients with Solid Tumors and the Optimal Application of Available Testing Platforms

> Friday, July 31, 2020 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM ET Andrew McKenzie, PhD

Identification of New and Emerging Genomic Alterations in Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Friday, August 7, 2020 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM ET

Alexander E Drilon, MD

Recognition and Management of Targetable Tumor Mutations in Less Common Cancer Types Friday, August 14, 2020 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM ET

Marcia S Brose, MD, PhD

All sessions moderated by Neil Love, MD and featuring Bryan Schneider, MD and Milan Radovich, PhD of the Indiana University Health Precision Genomics Program

Recent Advances in Medical Oncology: Urothelial Bladder Carcinoma

Monday, August 3, 2020 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Arjun Balar, MD Thomas Powles, MBBS, MRCP, MD Arlene Siefker-Radtke, MD

> Moderator Neil Love, MD

Co-provided by **USF**Health



Clinical Investigator Perspectives on the Current and Future Management of Multiple Myeloma

Tuesday, August 4, 2020 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM Central Time

Faculty Shaji K Kumar, MD

> **Moderator** Neil Love, MD



Co-provided by **USF**Health

Recent Advances in Medical Oncology: Immunotherapy and Other Nontargeted Approaches for Lung Cancer

> Wednesday, August 5, 2020 5:00 PM – 6:30 PM ET

> > Faculty Edward B Garon, MD, MS Stephen V Liu, MD, PhD David R Spigel, MD

> > > Moderator Neil Love, MD



ONCOLOGY TODAY WITH DR NEIL LOVE









About the Enduring Program

- This webinar is being video and audio recorded.
- The proceedings from today will be edited and developed into an enduring web-based video/PowerPoint program.



- An email will be sent to all attendees when the activity is available.
- To learn more about our education programs visit our website, www.ResearchToPractice.com

Make the Meeting Even More Relevant to You

Download the RTP Live app on your smartphone or tablet to access program information, including slides being presented during the program:

www.ResearchToPractice.com/RTPLiveApp



The Evolving Role of PARP Inhibition in the Management of Ovarian Cancer

Wednesday, July 29, 2020 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Mansoor Raza Mirza, MD Kathleen Moore, MD Shannon N Westin, MD, MPH

> Moderator Neil Love, MD



Agenda

Module 1: PARP Inhibitor Maintenance in the Up-Front Setting

- Genomic Evaluation
- Key Clinical Trial Results
 - SOLO-1, PRIMA, PAOLA-1, VELIA
- "Top 15" Clinical Questions

Module 2: Future Directions of PARP Inhibitors

Agenda

Module 1: PARP Inhibitor Maintenance in the Up-Front Setting

- Genomic Evaluation
- Key Clinical Trial Results
 - SOLO-1, PRIMA, PAOLA-1, VELIA
- "Top 15" Clinical Questions

Module 2: Future Directions of PARP Inhibitors

A 44-year-old woman with Stage III ovarian cancer (OC) and a <u>somatic BRCA mutation</u> undergoes optimal debulking surgery, then 6 cycles of carboplatin/paclitaxel. Would you offer PARP inhibitor maintenance?

a. No

- b. Yes, olaparib for 2 years
- c. Yes, olaparib for 3 years
- d. Yes, niraparib for 2 years
- e. Yes, niraparib for 3 years

Case Presentation – Dr Westin: A 44-Year-Old Woman with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer



Case Presentation – Dr Westin: A 44-Year-Old Woman with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer (cont'd)

- She undergoes optimal tumor reductive surgery to no gross residual disease.
- Final pathology: high grade serous ovarian cancer involving bilateral ovaries, uterine serosa, omentum and diaphragm.
- Germline *BRCA* wildtype
- Somatic BRCA positive

Case Presentation – Dr Westin: A 44-Year-Old Woman with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer (cont'd)

- She receives 6 cycles of IV carboplatin and paclitaxel. She is NED at the completion of treatment.
- Post-treatment toxicity includes minimal neuropathy
- She is started on olaparib 300 mg PO BID

BRCA mutations in ovarian cancers

- Rigshospitalet
- BRCA-deficient tumour cells are defective in HRR and are dependent on alternative DNA repair pathways^{1,2}
- BRCA-deficient cells are particularly sensitive to PARP inhibitors¹ targeting other DNA repair pathways
- Hallmarks of BRCA1/2-associated ovarian cancers include
 - sensitivity to platinum chemotherapy
 - improved overall survival
 - sensitivity to PARP inhibitors.
- In addition to BRCA1/2, mutations in other genes may affect the HRR pathway and increase sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents⁴

Walsh CS, et al. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2015;137(2):343–50; 2. Pennington KP, et al. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014;20:764–775;
Ledermann JA, et al. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24(Suppl 6):vi24–32; 4. Girolimetti G, et al. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;787143; 5. Burgess M and Puhalla S. *Front Oncol.* 2014;4:19. 3. Pennington KP, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2014;20:764–775; 4. Bolton KL, et al. JAMA. 2012;307:382–390.

Percentage of ovarian cancer patients, by histology, with germline BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations



In unselected population studies, BRCA mutations are most frequently associated with high-grade serous OC and to a lesser extent with low-grade serous carcinoma; other homologies also harbour BRCA mutations.



1. Prat J.. Ann Oncol 2012; 23(10): 111-117; 2. Alsop K, et al. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:2654 63; 3. Schrader KA, et al. Obstet Gynecol 2012;120:235–40; 4. Moschetta M, et al. BRCA somatic mutations and epigenetic BRCA modifications in serous ovarian cancer. Ann Oncol 2016;27:1449e55 ; Li, A., et al. Gynecol Oncol 2018;151:145

Courtesy of Mansoor Raza Mirza, MD

Homologous Recombination Defects in High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer



Rigshospitalet

NSGO-CTL

• Ovarian Cancer is a genetically heterogeneous disease

 BRCA1/2 deleterious mutations or chromosomal damage result in similar biology



Levine D. *The Cancer Genome Atlas, 2011* Konstantinopoulos et al. *Cancer Discov 2015*

Courtesy of Mansoor Raza Mirza, MD

Guidelines



ESMO-ESGO

Testing for BRCA1/2 mutations is recommended for all patients with nonmucinous ovarian cancer. All women diagnosed with epithelial OC should have germline genetic testing for BRCA1/2 and other ovarian cancer susceptibility genes. In women who do not carry a germline BRCA1/2 variant, somatic tumor testing should be performed. Women with epithelial OC should have testing at the time of diagnosis. ^[2]

ASCO

SGO

BRCA testing for all patients with epithelial ovarian, tubal & peritoneal cancers even in the absence of family history. ^[3]

1- Colombo N et al. Annals of Oncology 2019;30:672-705

2- DOI: 10.1200/JCO.19.02960 Journal of Clinical Oncology

3- SGO Clinical Practice Statement: Genetic Testing for Ovarian Cancer Oct 2014: accessed April 2018:

available at https://www.sgo.org/clinical-practice/guidelines/genetic-testing-for-ovarian-cancer/

Courtesy of Mansoor Raza Mirza, MD




- Gynecologists are important stake holders for early detection of BRCA1/2
 mutations in ovarian cancer for an informed treatment decision later
- All ovarian cancer patients should be tested for BRCA1/2 mutations at diagnosis
- Early detection of mutations in ovarian cancer will help in taking the right treatment decision
- Extended panel testing/next-generation sequencing is the recommended method of testing

The Forefront of Ovarian Cancer Therapy: Update on PARP inhibitors

M.R. Mirza, R.L. Coleman, A. González-Martín, K.N. Moore, N. Colombo, I. Ray-Coquard, S. Pignata

Ann Oncol 2020 Jun 19; [Epub ahead of print].

Stage III-IV; BRCA Mutated



Mirza MR et al. Ann Oncol 2020; [Epub of ahead print].

Stage III-IV; non-BRCA Mutated; HRD-Positive



Mirza MR et al. Ann Oncol 2020; [Epub of ahead print].

Stage III-IV; non-BRCA Mutated; HRD-Negative



Mirza MR et al. Ann Oncol 2020; [Epub of ahead print].

New Advanced Ovarian Cancer



Courtesy of Shannon N. Westin, MD, MPH

2020 Treatment Paradigm: Frontline Therapy for Ovarian Cancer



2020 Treatment Paradigm: Frontline Therapy for Ovarian Cancer



Case Presentation – Dr Westin: A 66-Year-Old Woman with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer



Case Presentation – Dr Westin: A 66-Year-Old Woman with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer (cont'd)

- Core biopsy is obtained revealing high grade serous ovarian cancer
- She is started on neoadjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel, carboplatin, and bevacizumab x 3 cycles
 - Bev held cycle 3
- Germline/somatic BRCA wildtype
- HRD testing: genomic instability present

Case Presentation – Dr Westin: A 66-Year-Old Woman with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer (cont'd)

- She undergoes interval tumor reductive surgery to R0
- Final pathology: residual high grade serous ovarian cancer in ovary, omentum, liver
- She completes additional 3 cycles of paclitaxel, carboplatin, and bevacizumab
 - bev held cycle 4
- Clinical complete response at the end of therapy
- No residual toxicity

Case Presentation – Dr Westin: A 66-Year-Old Woman with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer (cont'd)

- Clinical complete response at the end of therapy
- No residual toxicity
- Continued on bevacizumab maintenance x 15 cycles with addition of olaparib maintenance x 2 years

Incorporating Maintenance Therapy

- Factors to consider
 - Indication
 - BRCA/HRD Status
 - Toxicity
 - Schedule
 - Type of prior therapy
 - Existing adverse events
 - Cost

Phase 3 1L Maintenance Trials

Study Des	sign	GOG-0218 (N=1873)	SOLO-1 (N=451)	PAOLA-1 (N=612)	PRIMA (N=620)	VELIA (N=1140)	
Treatmen	ent arms vs placebo (n=625) Olaparib (n=260) Bevacizumab ± Olaparib		Niraparib	Veliparib			
Patient Po	opulation	All comers	BRCA mutation	All comers	All comers	All comers	
Undergo	tumor testing	HRR (post-hoc)	BRCA	BRCA	HRD	BRCA	
Stago	ш	73.8%	84.6%	Eligible	Eligible: Attempt upfront debulking	t Eligible	
Stage	IV 26.2% 15		15.4%	Eligible	Eligible: Any debulking attempts	Eligible	
Surgery	Residual disease after surgery	 Stage III incomplete Macroscopic: 32.8% >1 cm: 41.0% 	Macroscopic ^a Primary: 23.0% Interval: 19.1% 	NR ^b	Required for Stage III	Primary or Interval	
	Inoperable disease	0	1.5%	NR ^b	Eligible		
Treatmen	t Duration	15 months	24 months	15 months for Bev 24 months for Olaparib	36 months or until PD	24 months	

^aResidual disease based on stage was not reported. ^bStage III and IV eligible, but requirements for prior surgery not reported (NR) on clinicaltrials.gov

Burger RA, *N Engl J Med.* 2011; Norquist B *Clin Cancer Res* 2018; *Bevacizumab* prescribing information; Moore K, NEJM 2018; Gonzalez-Martin NEJM 2019; Ray-Coquard NEJM 2019; Coleman NEJM 2019

Courtesy of Shannon N. Westin, MD, MPH

Phase 3 1L Maintenance Trials

Study Design	SOLO-1 (N=451)	PAOLA-1 (N=612)	PRIMA (N=620)	VELIA (N=1140)
Treatment arms vs placebo	Olaparib (n=260)	Bevacizumab ± Olaparib	Niraparib	Veliparib
Patient Population	BRCA mutation	All comers	All comers	All comers
Treatment Duration	24 months	15 months for Bev 24 months for Olaparib	36 months or until PD	24 months

^aResidual disease based on stage was not reported. ^bStage III and IV eligible, but requirements for prior surgery not reported (NR) on clinicaltrials.gov

Burger RA, *N Engl J Med.* 2011; Norquist B *Clin Cancer Res* 2018; *Bevacizumab* prescribing information; Moore K, NEJM 2018; Gonzalez-Martin NEJM 2019; Ray-Coquard NEJM 2019; Coleman NEJM 2019

Courtesy of Shannon N. Westin, MD, MPH

Preclinical features of PARP inhibitors

PARP inhibitor	Olaparib tablets	Niraparib capsules	Rucaparib tablets	Talazoparib capsules	Veliparib tablets
PARylation IC ₅₀ (nM) ¹ A549 UWB1.289 (<i>BRCA1</i> m)	29 8	317 89	19 29	2 2.5	26 79
Clonogenic IC₅₀ (nM) ¹ UWB1.289 (<i>BRCA1</i> m)	63±19	98±30	123±54	1.2±0.3	~1000 (extrapolated)
Clinical doses (mg)	300 BID ²	300 QD ³	600 BID ⁴	⁹⁴ 1 QD ⁵ cor	
PARP-DNA trapping ⁷	+	+	+	++	_

PARylation and clonogenic assays carried out in ovarian cancer, and adenocarcinoma cell lines

1. Leo E et al. Poster LB-273, presented at AACR 2018; 2. Pujade-Lauraine E et al., Lancet Oncol. 2017 Sep;18(9):1274-1284; 3. Mirza MR et al. N Engl J Med. 2016;375, 2154–2164. 4. Coleman RL et al. The Lancet. 2017; 390: 1949-61; 5. Litton J et al. N Engl J Med 2018; 379:753-763; 6. Wagner LM, Onco Targets Ther. 2015; 8: 1931–1939; 114(7): 713–715.; 7: Pilie P et al., Clin Cancer Res. 2019 Feb 13. pii: clincanres.0968.2018

PARP inhibitors demonstrate greater activity in HRR deficient cancer cells compared to matched non-HRR deficient cells

Colony formation assay in two isogenic pairs (HRR deficient and HRR proficient)

Assay carried out in an ovarian cancer cell line



VELIA: This is where VELIA differs from the others..... Veliparib with and to follow chemo





Median duration of follow-up was 28 months at the time of database lock.



Median duration of follow-up was 28 months at the time of database lock.

VELIA would suggest that responses via RECIST and Ca-125 were more robust with the addition of veliparib to chemo...



O'Malley et al. SGO 2020

Perhaps most striking is the improvement in Ca-125 response among those patients categorized as non-HRD

Trend towards numerically higher CA-125 responses during veliparib + CP combination was seen in both subgroups but more pronounced in Non-HRD



Improving outcomes among HRp tumors is the next high, unmet need.

Does addition of veliparib with chemo, increase vulnerability of tumors to DNA damage and subsequent death?

Does this = better outcomes?

O'Malley et al. SGO 2020

Case Presentation – Dr Moore: A 48-Year-Old Woman with Stage IIIA, High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer and a BRCA1 Mutation

- A 48 year old, very healthy woman with a diagnosis of IIIA high grade serous ovarian cancer, BRCA 1 associated. She was diagnosed in 2013 and underwent primary cytoreduction followed by treatment on a clinical trial with paclitaxel, carboplatin, veliparib and bevacizumab followed by 15 cycles of bevacizumab maintenance.
- She was disease free for 5 years when diagnosed with a rising ca-125 and an oligo-met on PET/CT. She underwent resection of her only site of disease and was treated with 6 cycles of carboplatin and PLD with NED at completion. She is now on olaparib maintenance, 300 mg BID with minimal side effects and normal imaging/Ca-125

In general, what is the optimal approach to mutation testing for possible use of a PARP inhibitor for a patient with newly diagnosed ovarian cancer?



In general, what is the optimal approach to mutation testing for possible use of a PARP inhibitor for a patient with newly diagnosed ovarian cancer?



Do you routinely assess HRD status in your patients with advanced ovarian cancer?



Do you routinely assess HRD status in your patients with advanced ovarian cancer?



A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a <u>germline BRCA mutation</u> is s/p <u>suboptimal debulking surgery with elevated CA-125</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?

Carboplatin/paclitaxel → olaparib						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → olaparib						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev + olaparib						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → niraparib						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → niraparib						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev						
	0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1

Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a <u>germline BRCA mutation</u> is s/p <u>suboptimal debulking surgery with elevated CA-125</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?



A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a <u>germline BRCA mutation</u> is s/p <u>optimal debulking surgery with a normal CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?

0.2

0.4

0

0.8

0.6

Carboplatin/paclitaxel \rightarrow olaparib Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow olaparib Carboplatin/paclitaxel \rightarrow niraparib Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev + olaparib Carboplatin/paclitaxel Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow niraparib Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev + niraparib

Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a <u>germline BRCA mutation</u> is s/p <u>optimal debulking surgery with a normal CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?



Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a <u>somatic BRCA mutation</u> is s/p <u>suboptimal debulking surgery with an elevated CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?

0

Carboplatin/paclitaxel \rightarrow olaparib

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → olaparib

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev + olaparib

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow niraparib

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev

Carboplatin/paclitaxel

Carboplatin/paclitaxel \rightarrow niraparib

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1

Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a <u>somatic BRCA mutation</u> is s/p <u>suboptimal debulking surgery with an elevated CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?



A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (<u>BRCA wild type, HRD-positive</u>) is s/p <u>suboptimal debulking surgery with an elevated CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?

0.2

0.4

0.6

0.8

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev + olaparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → olaparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → olaparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → niraparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → niraparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev + niraparib	
C)

Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (<u>BRCA wild type, HRD-positive</u>) is s/p <u>suboptimal debulking surgery with an elevated CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev + olaparib					20%)
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → olaparib					18%	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → olaparib	'ib 18%				18%	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → niraparib	ib 14%					
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → niraparib	arib 12% bev 8% axel 6%					
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel						
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev + niraparib	4	%				
0	% 50	%	10%	15%	20%	25%
Bev = bevacizumab						

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (BRCA wild type, HRD-negative) is s/p suboptimal debulking surgery with an elevated CA-125 level. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?

0.2

0.4

0.6

0.8

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev
Carboplatin/paclitaxel
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → niraparib
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev + olaparib
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → olaparib
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → olaparib
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → niraparib
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev + niraparib
0

Bev = bevacizumab
A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (<u>BRCA wild type, HRD-negative</u>) is s/p <u>suboptimal debulking surgery with an elevated CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?



Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (BRCA wild type, HRD-negative) is s/p optimal debulking surgery with a normal CA-125 level. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?

0

Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → niraparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel → olaparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → olaparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → niraparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev → bev + olaparib	
Carboplatin/paclitaxel + bev \rightarrow bev + niraparib	

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (<u>BRCA wild type</u>, <u>HRD-negative</u>) is s/p <u>optimal debulking surgery with a normal CA-125 level</u>. Regulatory and reimbursement issues aside, what would you recommend as postoperative systemic therapy?



Bev = bevacizumab

A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a germline BRCA mutation undergoes suboptimal debulking surgery and receives carboplatin/paclitaxel followed by <u>olaparib</u>. For how long would you typically continue the olaparib if the patient is tolerating it well?



A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a germline BRCA mutation undergoes suboptimal debulking surgery and receives carboplatin/paclitaxel followed by <u>olaparib</u>. For how long would you typically continue the olaparib if the patient is tolerating it well?



A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (BRCA wild type, HRD-positive) undergoes suboptimal debulking surgery and receives carboplatin/paclitaxel followed by <u>niraparib</u>. For how long would you typically continue the niraparib if the patient is tolerating it well?



A 60-year-old woman with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer (BRCA wild type, HRD-positive) undergoes suboptimal debulking surgery and receives carboplatin/paclitaxel followed by <u>niraparib</u>. For how long would you typically continue the niraparib if the patient is tolerating it well?



What starting dose of niraparib would you use for a 125-lb patient with advanced ovarian cancer after response to front-line platinum-based chemotherapy with a platelet count of 200,000 for whom you are about to initiate maintenance niraparib?



What starting dose of niraparib would you use for a 125-lb patient with advanced ovarian cancer after response to front-line platinum-based chemotherapy with a platelet count of 200,000 for whom you are about to initiate maintenance niraparib?



For a patient with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a germline BRCA mutation who has undergone optimal debulking surgery followed by chemotherapy and 2 years of PARP inhibitor maintenance therapy, do you believe the risk of developing myelodysplastic syndromes or acute myeloid leukemia is increased by the PARP inhibitor?



For a patient with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer and a germline BRCA mutation who has undergone optimal debulking surgery followed by chemotherapy and 2 years of PARP inhibitor maintenance therapy, do you believe the risk of developing myelodysplastic syndromes or acute myeloid leukemia is increased by the PARP inhibitor?



According to your clinical experience, do PARP inhibitors cause insomnia?



According to your clinical experience, do PARP inhibitors cause insomnia?



Based on available data, do you believe that chemotherapy/veliparib \rightarrow veliparib should be an FDA-endorsed initial treatment option for patients with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer?



Based on available data, do you believe that chemotherapy/veliparib \rightarrow veliparib should be an FDA-endorsed initial treatment option for patients with Stage IIIC ovarian cancer?



Outside of a clinical trial, have you used or would you use a second PARP inhibitor or continue the same PARP inhibitor for a patient with ovarian cancer who experienced disease progression on a PARP inhibitor?



Outside of a clinical trial, have you used or would you use a second PARP inhibitor or continue the same PARP inhibitor for a patient with ovarian cancer who experienced disease progression on a PARP inhibitor?



Agenda

Module 1: PARP Inhibitor Maintenance in the Up-Front Setting

- Genomic Evaluation
- Key Clinical Trial Results
 - SOLO-1, PRIMA, PAOLA-1, VELIA
- "Top 15" Clinical Questions

Module 2: Future Directions of PARP Inhibitors

Immune Synergy: PARPi Elicit STING Dependent Antitumor Immunity in EOC

- T cell-mediated cytotoxicity is important for therapeutic activity of PARP inhibition
- Olaparib-treated Brca1-deficient tumor cells activate the STING pathway in APCs
- STING pathway activation is required for the antitumor efficacy of PARP inhibition
- PD-1 blockade enhances the antitumor efficacy of olaparib in Brca1-deficient tumors



Immune Combinations: TOPACIO: Niraparib + Pembrolizumab

Characteristic	Combined Phases 1 and 2 Patients With Ovarian Carcinoma (n = 62)
Age, median (range), y	60 (46-83)
ECOG performance status, No. (%) ^a	
0	44 (71)
1	18 (29)
Prior lines of therapy, median (range)	3 (1-5)
Prior bevacizumab, No. (%)	39 (63)
Prior chemotherapy, No. (%) ^b	
Anthracycline	40 (65)
Cyclophosphamide	5 (8)
Gemcitabline hydrochloride	29 (47)
Pacilitaxel	61 (98)
Platinum	62 (100)
Topotecan hydrochloride	3 (5)
Platinum status, No. (%)	
Resistant	30 (48)
Refractory	17 (27)
Not applicable ⁴	15 (24)
tBRCA status, No. (%)	
BRCA1 mutation	9 (15)
BRCA2 mutation	2 (3)
BRCA wild type	49 (79)
Unknown	2 (3)
HRD status, No. (%)	
HRD positive	22 (35)
HRD negative	33 (53)
HRD unknown	7 (11)
PD-L1 status, No. (%) ^d	
Positive	35 (56)
Negative	21 (34)
Unknown	6 (10)



•	
Patient	Complete response Partial response Progressive disease
	Stable disease Clinical progression
	► Ongoing tBRCAmut ²
	HRD regative (Lanckwit) HRD negative HRD unknown > PD-L1 positive
0 6 12 18 24 30 36 42 4	8 54 60 66 72 78 84 90 96 1

Best Overall Response	Response Data (n = 60)	
Complete response, No. (%)	3 (5)	
Partial response, No. (%)	8 (13)	
Stable disease, No. (%) ^a	28 (47)	
Progressive disease, No. (%)	20 (33)	
Inconclusive, No. (%) ^b	1 (2)	
ORR, % (90% CI)*	18(11-29)	
DCR, % (90% CI) ^d	65 (54-75)	

Konstantinopoulos et al. JAMA Oncol. 2019; 5(8):1141

Courtesy of Kathleen Moore, MD

MEDIOLA: Olaparib and Durvalumab (BRCA+)/Platinum sensitive





2 1 3 3 3 1 1 1 2 3 6 3 CR 4 2 PR 2 SD PD (RECIST) NE 3 Discontinuation due to AE 3 2 × Discontinuation due to patient decision * Death DCR at 12 weeks: 81% (90% CI 66%, 92%) 2 On study treatment n 28 56 84 112 140 224 448 168 12 weeks Study Day Courtesy of Kathleen Moore, MD

N=32

44% with 1 prior regimen 25% with 2

ORR 72% (23/32) CR 19% PR 53%

Drew et al. SGO Annual Meeting, 2019 Oahu, HI

Clinical Investigator Perspectives on the Current and Future Management of Multiple Myeloma

Thursday, July 30, 2020 12:00 PM – 1:00 PM ET

Faculty

Rafael Fonseca, MD

Moderator Neil Love, MD



Co-provided by **USF**Health

Thank you for joining us!

CME and MOC credit information will be emailed to each participant within 5 days.